

Question #	DRFP Reference	Question	Response
1	RFP Section F.5 (pg 12), DRFP Cover Letter, Enclosure 4 (Phase In SOW)	Section F, Paragraph F.5, shows a contract effective date and period of performance beginning 1 Oct 14; however, paragraph 2 of the cover letter states the 60-day phase-in period will be covered by separate FFP contract vehicle beginning 1 Oct 14. Additionally, Enclosure 4 (Phase-In SOW) states that contractual obligations for the successor contractor will begin on the effective date of the contract, which is scheduled to begin immediately following the 60-day phase-in period (1 Oct 14 through 1 Dec 14). A 60-day phase-in period would actually end 29 Nov 14 if it started 1 Oct 14. Subsequently, we interpret this to mean that the effective date of the basic contract would be 30 Nov 14 which is also the start date of the base period and the date full performance will begin. Please clarify.	Due to schedule changes, the phase-in and follow-on effective start dates have been revised in the final RFP. The phase-in period is anticipated for 2/1/15 -3/31/15 (59 days). With the phase-in dates as specified, the effective start of the follow-on would be April 1, 2015.
2	All DRFP documentation	All DRFP documentation shows solicitation number NNG1444640R; however, FBO lists the solicitation as NNG1344640R which must be used to access postings for the solicitation.	Since the Follow-on contract started in FY13 the initial Solicitation Number was listed as NNG1344640R. Since the procurement has crossed into FY14, the Solicitation Number has been updated to NNG1444640R. The public announcement number will not change from the FY13.
3	Workload Data, Section H, Para. H.18	We did not see any historical workload data for the program other than Enclosure 2, Historical Flying Hours, and Atch A, App C, Personnel Requirements, (labor hours by category). Assuming Section H, para. H.18 applied to the current contract, will other workload data, such as FTEs, average direct labor rates, man-hours by functional area, typical flying schedules, average sortie durations, deployment data (e.g., dates, locations, duration), number of maintenance actions, list of reparable assets, list of approved supply stock levels, etc. be provided to bidders?	The SOW is being updated to remove estimated hours needed and Enclosure 5 is being provided for historical information requested.
4	SOW Preface (pg A-10)	The Government describes a period of transition. Can the Government be more specific regarding the types of new missions and vehicles being brought on line at WFF, as well as associated timelines?	Two years ago management of the Aircraft Office (AO) transitioned to a new team which has expanded and continues to expand the capabilities of the AO. Aircraft are acquired as new missions requirements are presented to the AO. At this time there are no plans to bring on additional aircraft or new mission types but that could change at any time based on future yet to be known customer requirements. Any such future expansion would be part of the IDIQ portion of the contract.
5	Enclosure 2, Historical Flying Hours, SOW para. 1.4.1.1, Table 1-1	Historical flying hours are provided for NASA P-3, C-23, UH-1, T-34 and C-130 aircraft; however, the PWS does not reflect T-34, UH-1 and C-130 aircraft assigned to WFF. Please confirm that these aircraft are no longer assigned.	Historical flight hours reflect all aircraft currently flown by the AO. The P-3, C-23, and B-200 are core aircraft in the SOW. The C-130, UH-1, T-34 and any other future aircraft will be supported under the IDIQ portion of the SOW.
6	Section L, Para. 3.0 (pgs 66-67)	The Task Ordering Procedure clause is referenced twice under Section L.3, Mission Suitability Instructions by Subfactor, as in Section H when it is in Section I, para. I.100.	Task Ordering Procedures referenced in L.3 has been corrected to replace "Section H" to Sections B and I. The Specific references are B.7 and I.100 for Task Ordering Procedures.
7	SOW Para. 18.0 (pg A-68)	The P-3 Re-Wing Project is scheduled to begin 1 Aug 2014. Is this project expected to carry over beyond the follow-on contract start date?	Yes, the P-3 re-wing effort is scheduled to begin August 2014 and end August 2015. However, the P-3 re-wing has been removed from the SOW and is no longer required. See Revised SOW.
8	Section L, Para. 3.0, Subfactor B (pg 67)	Subfactor B states, "The offeror shall submit a written Quality Assurance Plan (QAP)...". Is the QAP excluded from the page count?	Yes the QAP is excluded from the page count.
9	SOW Para. 8.1.1 (pg A-44)	Paragraph states offeror must be AS-9110 certified within one year of contract award. Does certification to AS-9110 standards at corporate level satisfy this requirement?	AS-9110 compliance is required for all maintenance and operations conducted local to or deployed from WFF executed under this contract. If a corporate level certification covers lower level functions then this will suffice.
10	Enclosure 2, Historical Flying Hours, SOW para. 1.4.1.1, Table 1-1	Do these flying hours represent core workload, IDIQ workload or both?	Historical flight hours cover both core and IDIQ workloads.
11	Site Visit, 19 Nov 13	During the site visit, we did not see a battery shop, wheel & tire shop, avionics/comm/nav shop, engine repair shop, propeller repair shop, hydraulic shop (including brake repair), sheet metal/composite shop, LOX storage facility, GSE shop, accessories shop and corrosion control shop. Where is this maintenance performed?	The shops shown during the site visit are all that exist at WFF. Additional work is performed as needed using temporarily available space such as the hangar floor, side rooms or is sub-contracted to an outside vendor to perform.
12		Is there a bidders document library to access and review Documents 1-13 listed in the SOW paragraph 3.5? If yes what is the procedure to access the library?	The additional documents will be provided in the e-Library and publically available to all prospective offerors.

13		Bidders who can meet the requirement as a small business may have difficulty in providing relevant past performance for all the diverse aspects of the SOW. Does relevancy apply to all the requirements, CORE, ID/IQ, and the RTO tasks? Recommend PP be limited to evaluation for content relevancy to SOW paragraphs 4.2, 5.0, and 6.0 consistent with the evaluation of the Core Technical approach.	Yes, relevancy applies to all aspects of the SOW and is not limited to specific sections of the SOW.
14		What is the extent of the maintenance of GHOC-East? Is it just facility maintenance or will there'll level maintenance be required of the UAS vehicles residing at the facility?	Maintenance of GHOC-E will consist of avionics equipment and IT equipment maintenance and upgrades. Facility maintenance (ie repairs of ceiling tiles, windows, floors, air conditioning, etc.) will be performed by the existing base wide facility maintenance contract. Maintenance of any UAS vehicles would be executed via the IDIQ portion of the SOW as requested by the Government.
15		Will resumes be required for key personnel? Does the incumbent workforce have first right of refusal?	No, resume's will not be required. For Service Contract Act personnel FAR 52.222-17(b) is applicable.
16		Is this contract covered by General Wage Determination tables in accordance with the Service Contract Act as well as the CBA?	All Service Contract Act employees are covered under the Wage Determination - Collective Bargaining Agreement - Attachment B and Wage Determination - Attachment B.1.
17		Enclosure 2 "historical flight hours" has more aircraft documented than the SOW attachment A (pg A-14) which only lists the P-3, B-200, and C-23. Should bidders assume that Attachment A is the level and platforms included in the CORE bid, and the other aircraft represent ID/IQ activity?	The P-3, B-200 and C-23 aircraft are part of the core activities of the SOW. All other aircraft are part of the IDIQ portion of the SOW.
18		Is restoration of the P-3 BUNO 161008 included in the core SOW tasks? If so, for what time period?	P-3 BUNO 161008 is not planned to be restored by the Aircraft Office (AO) to an airworthy status. This aircraft is a parts aircraft only at this time. The only required maintenance, via IDIQ portion of the SOW, would be to maintain the aircraft in a preservation status in order for available parts not to be damaged or degraded for future use.
19		For the C-23 Sherpa fleet, do the 2 fly able aircraft require all 3 levels of maintenance?	Only 1 C-23 is currently flyable at this time. All other aircraft are parts aircraft and must be maintained, via IDIQ portion of the SOW, in a preservation status in order for available parts not to be damaged or degraded for future use.
20		Are there back shops on site to support some or all the maintenance services listed in 6.5.1? Which ones?	The only shops that currently exist at WFF are the electrical, ALSE, and machine shops. All other shops do not currently exist and required tasks are sub-contracted to off site vendors.
21		Is all the inventory in the supply room government owned?	Yes, all supply room items are government owned.
22		Does NASA own any spare engines for any aircraft covered by the AMOC?	NASA owns spare engines for the P-3, C-23, and C-130 aircraft.
23		If a hot section or overhaul is due on an aircraft engine that is deploying, is that maintenance event completed prior to deployment, or deferred ?	If a hot section or overhaul is due while an aircraft is deployed that maintenance work is typically performed before the mission or deferred until the mission is completed. Mission duration, type of flight operation, and deployed location determines when such maintenance will be performed.
24		Are PT6A hot sections done on wing at any time, or is the engine removed and sent to a facility?	Normally, any PT6A hot section inspection is performed on wing.
25		What are the typical spare parts brought on a P-3 deployment?	This answer is dependent on where the P-3 deploys. For typical CONUS missions we will deploy with normal consumables and smaller transportable parts to be carried on the aircraft to the deployment location. For riskier evolutions, such as a deployment to Antarctica, we will deploy with everything from basic consumables and smaller transportable parts up to and including pre-staging major components such as extra engines, props, wheels and their associated tools, stands and jacks both at the mission site and along the transit route.
26		When parts are removed from the non-flying aircraft, who inspects and certifies them "As Serviceable"? Are they sent out and tagged before being used on flying aircraft?	NASA has the authority to self-certify any part removed from a non-flying asset. These parts may be sent out and or tagged prior to use but ultimately at NASA discretion.
27		Is there a FMC rate that is a goal? Is there a NMCS rate maximum? Are there any performance metrics?	There are no set FMC and NFMC criteria. Aircraft are expected to be maintained FMC as much as possible throughout the year due to customer request that may arise. If conflicts arise in mission schedules and maintaining aircraft FMC then NASA will prioritize the work.
28		Does NASA have access to the Navy Fleet Readiness Center Southeast, Jacksonville FL, for the P3 re-wing project?	Yes, NASA has access to the Navy Flight Readiness Center however at this time NASA plans to utilize the existing Navy re-wing contract for this effort. Re-wing was included in the SOW IDIQ section as a precautionary measure in case NASA is unable to use the existing Navy re-wing contract. The revised SOW provided with the Final RFP, no longer includes this requirement.
29		Exhibit 6 requests a material list costing schedule, on what bases are bidders expected to develop these lists when no past parts usage information has been provided?	Historical information will be provided in Exhibit 5.
30		The PP relevancy dollar threshold of one million per year is high for a small business set aside, would the government entertain lowering the threshold to \$250K per year?	In relation to the scope of this procurement, an accurate Past Performance threshold will change in the final RFP from \$1M to \$400K.

31	<p>Page 5:B.7 GSFC 52.216-91 SUPPLEMENTAL TASK ORDERING PROCEDURES (COST REIMBURSEMENT) (APR 2010) (a) When the Government issues a request for a —task planll to the Contractor in accordance with the Clause entitled —Task Ordering Procedurell of this contract, the Contractor shall prepare its estimate of the labor hours, labor categories, indirect costs, and other direct costs required to perform the task order requirements. The Contractor shall use only those appropriate labor and indirect cost rates, which may be less than but shall not exceed the rates found in Section J.1, Attachment G, to calculate the proposed estimated costs for all task orders issued in accordance with the —Task Ordering Procedurell clause of this contract.</p>	<p>Question A: How does NASA propose the Contractor in the out years of contact (Year 2-5) be held to the pricing awarded in the IDIQ if the contract as a whole is a CPFF contract? Question B: How can the GOVERNMENT hold the Contractor to rates not more than those proposed for out years (Year 2-5) when the business base will change and rates may increase? Doesn't this directly conflict CPFF? Are you saying the Contractor is not entitled to claims for rates adjustments if they are more than proposed?</p>	<p>A. NASA requires that all tasks be estimated for cost and fee utilizing the IDIQ rates proposed. While this establishes the estimated cost and fee of the task, the actual cost of each task will be reimbursed based on actual incurred costs for the effort. The fee would remain constant once established. B. NASA will hold the contractor to the proposed rates for estimating the cost and establishing the fee for each task. Actual costs incurred will be reimbursed in accordance with cost contract principles; the fee will remain constant.</p>
32	<p>(pg. 64-65) Since the RFP is coming out small business, is it indeed the intent of the Government that the small business prime have the following approved business systems prior to contract award:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Property management system • Accounting system • Purchasing System • Estimating System 	<p>Question: If that is not the intent, can the Government please provide clarification of whether these systems will have to be certified or approved prior to or during the performance of this contract and what the time frame associated with that approval process supposed to be?</p>	<p>There is no requirement for approved business systems for Property management system, Purchasing system, and estimating system prior to contract award. Per L.24.1/Offeror Volume, "the prime Offerors must have an accounting system that has been determined adequate by the cognizant administrative office for accumulating and reporting incurred costs prior to contract award". Also in accordance with FAR 16.301-3 states that a cost-reimbursement type contract may be used only when the contractor's accounting system is adequate for determining costs applicable to the contract. If no information is available in the files to make this determination, a Preaward Survey of Prospective Contractor's Accounting System (SF1408) can be requested from DCAA. Recommend ptential offerors contacting their local DCAA Point of Contact or visiting http://www.dcaa.mil for additional information.</p>
33		<p>Will the Government provide all necessary templates for the completion of this proposal, including soft copy excel templates for pricing, soft copy past performance assessment completion forms, etc.? Specifically, will the Government provide Exhibits 1-21 in Excel format to the Contractor? And Attachment G?</p>	<p>Electronic excel templates will be provided with the final RFP for pricing/cost exhibits. PDF versions of Attachment G/Direct Labor Matrix and Exhibit 14/Past Performance Questionnaire will also be provided as part of the final RFP.</p>
34		<p>Will NASA require a pre-award audit, and if so, who will be performing that audit, and what information will be audited?</p>	<p>No pre-award audit is required.</p>
35		<p>On past performance, is there a minimum and maximum number of past performance contracts? Is there a template for submission of the references? Is there a questionnaire that needs to be completed?</p>	<p>No, there are no minimum or maximum number of past performance contracts. However in the final RFP, L.25/Past Performance Volume, will state "the prime contractor Prime Offerors shall furnish the information requested below for all of your most recent contracts (completed and ongoing) for similar efforts with a minimum average annual cost/fee incurred of \$400K that your company has had within the last 5 years of the RFP release date." See Exhibit 14/Past Performance Questionnaire for additional information.</p>
36		<p>For the mandatory reporting, such as the Monthly Status Report, Financial Management Report, Travel Report and the Final Report, will NASA provide Government templates, or will this be contractor preference? Will there be associated CDRLs? Will reporting be accepted in soft copy?</p>	<p>See Attachment D - Financial Reporting 533 instructions, NF533 Report Distribution. Both hard copies and an electronic copy are required.</p>
37		<p>It is clearly stated that the contractor must have an "onsite" location where they can perform all of the performance works statement requirements, to include support, maintenance, training, logistics, etc. Does the "onsite" location requirement mean on site at Wallops base, or off base with close proximity to the base? a. Will the Wallops location need to be a cleared facility or will our corporate security clearance be enough?</p>	<p>Yes. The Government will provide "on-site" space. No facility will be required.</p>
38		<p>To meet the requirements of the Government for the inspection system records, would it be acceptable if the contractor maintains the records for 6 years after delivery OR until contract closeout?</p>	<p>In accordance with FAR 4.805 the Government retains record for 6 years and 3 months after final payment.</p>
39		<p>The government states that the contractor must have a task order management system.... if the contractor does not have a TOMS or WIIMS does that disqualify the Contractor from bidding?</p>	<p>No a contractor will not be disqualified if the company does not have TOMS or WIIMS. As stated in L.22 (c)(8)(b), the Government has TOMS and WIIMS available for use. "If a different electronic task ordering system will be used to manage Task Orders under this contract, please identify."</p>

40		Sample task - How will the sample task pricing be used in the evaluation of cost/technical merit or cost reasonableness? How will the cost sampling for such task be used in negotiations of later tasking, as stated in the RFP?	The Representative Task Order is relative to an IDIQ Task. See M.3.1, Subfactor A- Technical Approach and M.4, as this is a priced RTO that we will evaluate for reasonableness/realism and present both the proposed and probable cost of the RTO.
41		On page 75 (L.25a) Are you asking for past performance references with annual FEE of \$1M or total annual COST/FEE of \$1M?	Included in the draft RFP "a minimum average annual cost/fee incurred of \$1M" was required for Past Performance References. In the final RFP a minimum average annual cost/fee has been reduced from \$1M to \$400K.
42	Page 6: (b) A proposal is required to support a request for an increase in the estimated cost of the contract or the task order. The proposal should be submitted as soon as possible after the above notification but no later than 115 days before the incurred costs are expected to exceed the estimated cost. This will allow adequate time for the Government to evaluate the proposal and to mutually establish any increase in estimated cost with the Contractor.	Question: Does NASA anticipate the Task Orders & Pricing time schedule will support 115 day notice?	Per the clause, "as soon as possible" after the above notification but no later than 115 days. This language pertains to estimated cost increases, and requests by the contractor to increase the value of the total contract or an individual established task order based on cost variances experienced in performance. It does not pertain to the process of issuing and awarding a new task, which is governed by the procedures and timetable defined in clause I.100.
43	Page 7: C.1 GSFC 52.211-91 SCOPE OF WORK (AUG 2013) The Contractor shall provide the personnel, materials, and facilities, except as otherwise specified in this contract, necessary to perform the work and to furnish the items specified in the SUPPLIES AND/OR SERVICES TO BE PROVIDED clause of this contract in accordance with the Statement of Work, Attachment A; Quality Assurance Plan, Attachment C; and task orders issued hereunder.	Question: Does NASA have an historical or estimated "Materials" to which the Contractor is pricing? Would the Materials be aircraft parts, spares, facilities maintenance, tooling, specials tooling to work on specific aircraft, etc.?	There is no required facilities maintenance. Additional Historical information will be provided in Exhibit 5.
44		Will the Government provide the contractor copies of the following documents? • NASA Procedural Requirements (NPR) 4100.1, NASA Materials Inventory Management Manual; • NASA Procedural Requirements (NPR) 4200.1, NASA Equipment Management Procedural Requirements; • NASA Procedural Requirement (NPR) 4300.1, NASA Personal Property Disposal Procedural Requirements; • NASA Technical Handbook (NASA-HDBK) 6003; • Application of Data Matrix Identification Symbols to Aerospace Parts Using Direct Part Marking Methods/Techniques; • NASA Standard (NASA-STD) 6002 Applying Data Matrix Identification Symbols on Aerospace Parts • ASA Procedural Requirements (NPR) 4100.1, NASA Materials Inventory Management Manual; • NASA Procedural Requirements (NPR) 4200.1, NASA Equipment Management Procedural Requirements; • NASA Procedural Requirement (NPR) 4300.1, NASA Personal Property Disposal Procedural Requirements;	All requested requested documents will be provided in the Technical e-Library.
45	PG 67 – 60-day phase-in period will be accomplished through the issuance of a separate contract.	Question: Will NASA provide this 60-day phase in period as FFP on a separate contract or will this be issued as a Task Order?	See Response to Question 1 for phase-in period of performance, which will be accomplished under a separate Firm Fixed Price Purchase Order.
46	PG 69 L.24 Number 1: Direct labor must be estimated on the basis of productive effort. Productive effort is the estimated number of hours required to perform the work.	Question: Is productive effort considered from SOW estimate or Enclosure 1: Representative Task Order (RTO)? Or will that be two separate pricing submissions? And, if two separate submissions, must be identify "significant subcontractors" (greater than 5%) in both submissions?	In accordance with L.24.1/Cost Volume, Page 69, Paragraph 2, "Direct labor must be estimated on the basis of productive effort. Productive effort is the estimated number of hours required to perform the work. Vacations, holidays, sick leave, and any other paid absences shall not be cited as direct labor, but shall be separately identified and priced or included in indirect cost." See Attachment A/Statement of Work for work to be performed and L.24 for additional information.
47	PG 69 L.24 Number 1: Two copies of the CD-ROMs shall be submitted with one copy identified as the backup.	Question: Is NASA requesting 3 CDs (including backup) or 2 CDs (including 1 backup)?	Two CDs total. One copy identified as the backup.
48	PG 69 L.24 Number 1: Offerors, including proposed significant subcontractors, shall submit one copy of their cost proposal directly to your cognizant DCAA auditing office by the due date specified on the solicitation face page, Standard Form 33, Block 9. The name, mailing address, email address, and phone number of the cognizant DCAA office are to be included in the written narrative of the Offer Volume. Please ensure that all contact information provided is current and correct.	Question: What if the contractor (or subcontractors) does not have an assigned DCAA representative – no current contracts requiring DCAA?	Please contact a DCAA Regional Office/representative to assist your company. Also, an approved accounting system must be obtained prior to award of a Cost Type Contract.

49		Attachment G, Number 6 – Position Qualifications. How does this differ from Attachment A, Appendix C?	Attachment G, 6 - Position Qualifications (For All Prime and Subcontractor Direct Labor Categories) are to be proposed by the offeror where as Attachment A, Appendix C - Personnel Requirements are references of positions and job descriptions an offeror may or may not propose.
50	PG 69 L.24 Number 1: The Offeror shall also include the company's escalation history for each other cost element experienced in the past three years.	Question: Please define and elaborate on NASA's definition of "other cost element"?	NASA defines "other cost element" as non labor and non travel costs.
51	PG 70 L.24 Number 1: The Offeror shall clearly identify and list any cost items that will be routinely direct charged as an Other Direct Cost in all task orders. The supporting rationale associated with these proposed ODC expenses shall also be submitted.	Question: How does NASA expect the contractor to "list items in all task orders" when NASA has not provided Task Orders to the Contractor?	See M.3.1 -Subfactor A-Technical Approach for additional information.
52		Please clarify the intent from the government on how the offeror completes Exhibit 2? Does NASA expect Exhibit 1 to be straight lined to complete Exhibit 2?	See L.24.2 Cost Proposal format for instructions for Exhibits 1 and 2.
53		Please clarify the statement on Page 70, L.24 Number 2 (c): Complexity factors utilized--all factors must be identified	If, for example, you provide an estimate for a specific task or requirement that is based on a somewhat similar historically-based effort, that basis might need to be adjusted based on dissimilarities due to complexity. In such an example, if historically a task took 100 direct labor hours to complete, but the task being estimated for this requirement is deemed 20% more complex than the historical effort, then the associated complexity factor would be 1.2 and the estimated hours would be 120. This factor should be provided and justified as part of the BOE.
54		Is the RTO BOE limited to the two page restriction (including both prime and subs)? Or is it a two page limit for prime, two page limits for each sub (combined total of 4 pages)?	2 Pages per Lowest required WBS Level. See L.21 (b), Proposal Content and Page Limitations.
55		RTO BOE - Will NASA provide "the format which shall be used for the actual contract performance"? Is NASA expecting the cost to be build up in the following manner: a) RTO BOE – one per mission per aircraft b) Which rolls into the BOE by Year (a) multiplied by each year of contract c) BOE for SOW work d) Which rolls into SOW BOE by Year (c) above multiplied by each year of the contract	In accordance with L.24 (2) (h), RTO Basis of Estimates (second paragraph) - "As a minimum, include the following information in the BOE in the format that is most convenient, preferably the format which shall be used for the actual contract performance:" If so, the format referred to is to be the offeror's format. In performance, NASA will provide the task order/task description, and the contractor will provide the estimate for the task and the corresponding basis of estimate. NASA does not expect the RTO to be "built up" or extrapolated beyond the scope/value of the specific RTO as provided in the solicitation.
56	Page 59: (a) The Offeror shall submit a detailed Safety and Occupational Health Plan as part of its proposal (see NPR 8715.3, NASA General Safety Program Requirements, Appendices). The plan shall include a detailed discussion of the policies, procedures, and techniques that will be used to ensure the safety and occupational health of Contractor employees and to ensure the safety of all working conditions throughout the performance of the contract.	Question: Is the Plan to be generic in nature? Will this be a CDRL? Or is this to be incorporated as part of the Technical Volume?	The Safety and Occupational Health Plan can be a generic company specific plan, however an offeror needs to ensure it includes the required information as specified in L.18/Safety and Health Plan.
57	PG 69 L.24 Number 1: All pricing and estimating techniques shall be clearly explained in detail (projections, rates, ratios, percentages, factors, etc.) and shall support the proposed costs in such a manner that audit, computation, and verification can be accomplished. All past actuals shall show the periods of time and costs in detail when used as a basis for estimating the proposed costs.	Question: Is NASA requesting all prior year actual rate buildups calculations along with books and records to support prior year basis for estimating? Is NASA auditing the rate calculations, formulas, General Ledger balances, etc.?	No. See L.24 Cost Volume for additional information.

58	PG 69 L.24 Number 1: Indicate how you have computed and applied your indirect costs, including cost breakdowns. Show trends and budgetary data to provide a basis for evaluating the reasonableness of proposed rates. Indicate the rates used and provide an appropriate explanation. Also provide the actual indirect rates realized for the last three contractor fiscal years, annotating if the rate is audited or unaudited.	Question: Is NASA requesting actual rate buildups calculations along with books and records to support cost breakdowns? What does NASA consider cost breakdowns? What is NASA's definition of "reasonableness"? What if the contractor does not have three years prior fiscal years? Please define by whom is NASA is asking by "the rate is audited or unaudited"?	<p>The following is the revised final RFP language defining NASA's expectations for support of indirect rates in the proposal:</p> <p>(a) DIRECT AND INDIRECT RATE SUBSTANTIATION</p> <p>.....</p> <p>Indicate how you have computed and applied your indirect cost rates, including cost breakdowns. Show numerical trends and budgetary data to provide a basis for evaluating the reasonableness of pool costs and base projections. It is important that rate pool components are clearly defined and reasonably estimated, that projections regarding future sales are fully supported and are reasonable in their estimation, and that completed/expiring contracts are properly accounted for as reductions in the business base projections. As such, provide a detailed narrative explaining the basis of the indirect rate derivation, describing the types of costs accumulated for the specific rate pool and their estimation rationale, and the methodology for the projected base of application. Also provide the actual indirect rates realized for the last three contractor fiscal years, annotating if the rate is audited or unaudited. The further your proposed rates depart from established, historical indirect rates, the more essential it is that the proposal thoroughly addresses and justifies the basis for the changes in your proposed rates. Failure to provide this justification may result in cost realism adjustments to your proposal due to the application of rates the Government deems more reasonable and supportable (e.g., historical rates as charged under existing contracts or as supplied by cognizant audit and administrative agencies).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Government does not require books/records, just summary information based on those records. • Breakdowns are numerical trends and budgetary data. • Reasonableness is fair marketable cost/price. • If a contractor does not have the actual indirect rates realized for the last three contractor fiscal years, the contractor shall provide as many realized rates (per contractor fiscal years) the contractor does have. • Audited/unaudited refers to by the Contractor's cognizant audit agency.
59	Page 70, L.24 Number 2 (c): The Offeror shall give the Government insight into the cost estimating thought processes and methodologies used by the Offeror in estimating the quantities of labor hours/costs, other direct costs, etc. required for successful performance of each by SOW Work Breakdown Structure.	Question: Where is the SOW Work Breakdown Structure found in the RFP?	See Attachment E -Work Breakout Structure. In the final RFP, Attachment E will be renamed from Work Breakout Structure to Work Breakdown Structure (WBS) Levels.
60		Attachment G – How does NASA impose Not to Exceed Labor Rate if the SCA is in effect and changes during the possible 5 year contract term?	The Not to Exceed applies to the rates used for estimating the tasks and establishing the fee for each task; it is not a limit on reimbursement of actual incurred costs in performance of the task.
61		Attachment G – How does NASA impose Not to Exceed indirect rates for all Task Orders for a possible 5 year contract term when the contract is a CPFF?	The Not to Exceed applies to the rates used for estimating the tasks and establishing the fee for each task; it is not a limit on reimbursement of actual incurred costs in performance of the task.
62		Attachment A – Please verify all the references (section references) are correct. Specifically, pages A-12, A-18, A-19, A-30, A-32, A-33, A-37, A-38, A-39, A-43, A-64, and A-71.	Several references are pointing to the wrong location. The final SOW version to be release with the RFP will be corrected.
63		Attachment A – Page A-50 – what is 533M submission?	A NF 533M is a monthly NF 533. See Attachment D - Financial Reporting -533 Instructions, NF 533 Report Due Date.
64		Attachment A – will NASA make available all NASA documents referenced in the DSOW prior to final RFP release?	All available NASA documents are posted on the Technical Library.
65		Attachment A – does NASA intend to use this contract for major aircraft maintenance overhaul?	Yes, NASA intends to use the contract for major aircraft maintenance overhauls as necessary.
66		Attachment A – will the scanning and duplication efforts be unclassified in nature?	All scanning and duplication efforts will be unclassified.
67		Attachment A – what are the welding requirements? What training and/or certifications are required?	Welding standards and welder qualifications shall comply with AWS D17.1 2001 Specification for Fusion Welding for Aerospace Applications.
68		Attachment A – what is NASA's required training and/or certifications for instructors?	Instructors designated by NASA shall comply with the 830-FOM-0001 Flight Operations Manual. Instructors from outside entities need to produce instructor qualifications from their organization to the Contracting Officer's Representative (COR) for NASA to verify and accept.
69		Attachment A – does NASA anticipate the Contractor to perform facilities/airfield maintenance?	Maintenance of generic facilities such as building, ceilings, walls, painting, etc. is covered by an existing base wide facility contract. Maintenance of the airfield is also covered by the existing base wide facility contract however oversight of such activities is performed by the entity operating the airfield. Airfield operations is part of the IDIQ portion of the SOW.
70		Attachment A – does NASA require uniforms for Contractor personnel?	NASA does not require contract personnel wear a company uniform for non-flight duty activities. NASA provides flight gear for flight operations.
71		Attachment A – will NASA provide access to the systems listed on page 40-41?	NASA will provide access to systems listed on pages A-40 through A-41.
72		Attachment A – what asset is Contractor required to maintain page A-24?	The Government will not provide vehicles for contract employees to use. The government will provide purpose vehicles such as tugs and air stairs which the contractor shall maintain and fuel.
73		Will NASA post the most current SCA Wage Determination for Wallops Island, VA?	Attachment B.1 , Wage Determination without CBA, will be included in FRFP.
74		The dates on the posted CBA ended 09/30/2012, is this contract work force still under a CBA? If yes, will NASA post the most current CBA with Wage Information?	The updated CBA was provided in Amendment 1 of the draft RFP. See Amendment 1.

75		What level of clearance is required? Does NASA anticipate Contractor facilities to be cleared? If yes, to what level?	There is no security level for facilities access, however a secret clearance level is required for all individuals who will be maintaining the Global Hawk Operations Center - East (GHOC-E). Any individuals who maintain the Global Hawk UAS vehicles, if executed under the IDIQ portion of the SOW, will also require a secret clearance level.
76	Page 81: The Cost/Price Factor is significantly less important than the combined importance of the Mission Suitability Factor and the Past Performance Factor. As individual Factors, the Cost/Price Factor is less important than the Mission Suitability Factor but more important than the Past Performance Factor.	Question: Will NASA provide a rubric for the Relative Order of Importance of Evaluation Factors?	See RFP Section M.2.(3), Relative Order of Importance of Evaluation Factors.
77	Page 81: The Cost/Price Factor is significantly less important than the combined importance of the Mission Suitability Factor and the Past Performance Factor. As individual Factors, the Cost/Price Factor is less important than the Mission Suitability Factor but more important than the Past Performance Factor.	Question: Will NASA provide a rubric for the Relative Order of Importance of Evaluation Factors?	See RFP Section M.2.(3), Relative Order of Importance of Evaluation Factors.
78		Please define the calculation for Significant Subcontractor (>15% of a RTO Estimate). Does this mean 15% of total RTO Price, direct labor cost with subcontractor or without subcontractor cost, with ODCs and Travel (including subcontractor costs) or excluding subcontractor costs?	In accordance with L.21(a)(2), "Offerors and proposed significant subcontractors for cost proposal purposes (defined as any subcontract that is likely to exceed 15% of the proposed contract value or Representative Task Order (RTO)) shall include one (1) additional separately packaged copy of their Cost Proposal, marked NNG1444640R/NASA Proposal Evaluation Material", which the Government will forward to the cognizant Defense Contract Audit Agency (DCAA) office with their audit request."
79		Section L, where does NASA want the two electronic copies for each volume?	See L.26 Proposal Marking and Delivery (Nov 2012) for proposal submissions.
80		Section L, is cost data to be limited to Volume III? If yes, shouldn't the Total Compensation Plan be reloaded to Volume III?	See L.21 (b), Proposal Content and Page Limitations for additional information.
81		Section L, Page 69, states a significant subcontractor is 5% of proposed offer, but the Exhibits use 15%, please clarify.	15% is the correct percentage and will be corrected in the final RFP.
82		Exhibit 4 – should the Contractor base all pricing on the Contractor Fiscal Year Pricing or the Government Fiscal Year Pricing?	See B.9 and F.5 for the period of performance.
83		Does NASA require one box per volume for packaging and shipping?	No there is no such requirement. See L.26 Proposal Marking and Delivery (Nov 2012).
84		Can the contractor anticipate the LOE for each augmented task under the IDIQ is the same LOE as the RTO? And price accordingly?	The RTO is merely representative and intended for use in the evaluation of proposals. Actual tasks will be issued to the selected offeror once the contract is awarded based on actual, versus representative, requirements. As such, the LOE required will be task specific. There is no requirement to price anything other than the RTO; at award the task order portion of the contract will be valued based on the IDIQ maximum expressed in the contract (clause B.6).
85		Attachment "A" 8) Ensure supply stock levels do not fall below approved levels for items repairable within the back shops;	The Government will establish the levels in the Government ordering system.
86		Attachment "A" 9) Who is responsible for proving space for back shops or support shops?	NASA will provide space from current allotted NASAWFF spaces. Additional space can be acquired by NASA for temporary use in order to complete a specific tasks. Shop space at a contractors facility can be utilized or specific tasks or functions can be sub-contracted out on an as needed basis.
87		Question, Will the contract or NASA approve funding for ensuring these stock levels?	NASA retains purchasing authority to attain stock approved levels.
88		The RFP states that the Aircraft shall be kept in a hangar when it is not flying. Will the Contractor be responsible for acquiring hangar and fueling services?	The contractor will be responsible for hangar and fuel services as requested by NASA. Hangars and fuel services are provided at WFF.
89		How many augmented missions will be pushed through the IDIQ per year, and what basis of information shall be used to established the ceiling cost of that contract?	Number and types of mission vary from year to year. An average year has 4-6 missions but as little as 1 mission a year has been flown before in the past. Mission are assigned via NASA Headquarters, requested by NASA centers, or immediate customer needs at any given time throughout a year.
90		Should the cost to operate the contractor's mandatory facility be included in the total ODC estimate bid in their proposal submission? Should those ODC charges be broken out separately or rolled up into a total cost?	There is no requirement for a Contractor to have a "mandatory facility".
91		There are not hours associated with all of the labor/position names. Should the contractor bid a standard man year for each labor category assuming that the RTO is representative of upcoming work to be performed as missions are pushed to the IDIQ?	In the SOW Attachment A, the labor positions are provided as a reference and are not all required. The estimated hours in the DRFP were erroneously posted and have been removed from the Final RFP. The Offeror shall propose labor rates and should bid only those hours/positions it deems necessary for performance of the core SOW requirement and for the RTO provided within the solicitation.
92		In regards to the Aircraft Maintenance and Operations requirement, we are looking for the answer to the following question: What aircraft is expected to be provided by the contractor for this requirement, if any?	The contractor is not expected to provide any aircraft/UAS for the core functions of the SOW. An IDIQ request for use of a non-NASA owned aircraft/UAS (typically referred to as "contract aircraft/UAS" by NASA) may be exercised at any time during the contract period depending on mission requirements. Contractors may elect to use existing aircraft/UAS they may currently own or subcontract to another vendor to acquire the contract aircraft/UAS that meets NASA's mission requirements.

93		Can you advise if there is a chance items will be outsourced to reprepare stations for this requirement?	Occasionally NASA will have a requirement to outsource components that cannot be maintained/fixed on site.
----	--	--	--